

Approval of minutes of February 4th meeting is postponed until the Chair writes them up.

New Business

1. **Adam Crager** tenure case:

First hour: discussion of research, report about overlap of student reviewers via letters and via grad report. Overlap = one person. (Recall the grad report was based on “8 or 9” submissions.)

Second hour: discussion of case as a whole. Voting occurs electronically online and after the meeting.

We owe it to Adam to spend the whole two hours on his case. But the choice of a shortlist should be as soon as possible. Thus, a meeting next week seems appropriate.

2. **Report** of vote on short list. Ranking of ten, with what fraction of “Advance” each got.

3. **Discussion** of how far to go down the shortlist.

4. **Discussion** of university’s new policy on banking course releases. Isamara informs us about the situation. We have to submit a plan for how course releases are done, by February 28th. What questions is this plan supposed to answer?

Announcements

1. We may have to have a meeting next week to discuss 2, 3, and 4.

2. Paul Taylor and his family have scheduled a visit to campus in the tenth week of Winter term. This is to get acquainted with us and UCLA, to see it’s like and what is possible here.