

Approval of minutes of January 28th meeting

New Business

1. Adam Crager tenure case: discussion of research, report by Grad Reps
2. Questions about voting method:
 - a. Can we replace YES/NO (or UP/DOWN) with ADVANCE/DISMISS?
 - b. How much information do we want all of us to have about the vote after we know the results?
 - Option 1: All the ballots (without voters' names) – can compromise anonymity
 - Option 2: Just the Condorcet matrix and Copeland scores.

Announcements

1. Our meeting schedule for the next few weeks:

February 11th, 2-4, Adam Crager tenure case: discussion of research, case as a whole
Vote on tenure is electronic, outside of and after the meeting.

2. We are still to be working on three “initiatives of change” for the department, that the dean has requested, to put in the division’s Strategic Plan. (Email and documents attached.) These would preferably have measurable outcomes. Please let me know of any you come up with, and ***write me a paragraph describing each.***

Example ideas: **Outreach:** Critical Thinking Partnership; **Research:** History of Philosophy in the Americas; **EDI:** Reporting System; **Undergraduate program:** “Being in the World” zoom series with alumni, expanding social tools of philosophy majors, 100W philosophy writing course; ...

(Being on this list does not mean it will be forwarded as a department project. These are just examples, though real ones, to give you an idea what sort of thing might be suitable.)