Fall 2008

PHILOS 200A, FIRST-YEAR SEMINAR
HERMAN

PHILOS C209, DESCARTES
CARRIERO

PHILOS C210, SPINOZA
ALMOG

My seminar is on Spinoza's metaphysics and his idea that God is Nature, Nature is God and this one is the only one there is.

PHILOS 231 INTENSIONAL LOGIC
KAPLAN

PHILOS 232, PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE
SMITH

In the Philosophy 232 Seminar, we will primarily read Mark Wilson’s book Wandering Significance: An Essay on Conceptual Behavior.

It is difficult to capture the full range of this complex book in a paragraph, but much of it is concerned with the articulation of and rejection of a “classical theory of concepts.” Wilson attributes the major elements of the articulated classical theory to Frege and Russell, but he also takes it to be implicit in many of the projects that characterize contemporary analytic philosophy including philosophy of science. As a counter to the classical picture, he provides examples of the complex ways in which words work when they are pressed into new environments and claims that (at a minimum) the classical theory has to struggle to accommodate such examples. The book is absolutely rich with such examples drawn in large part from mathematics and physics. For this reason alone it would be of interest to those with a bent towards philosophy of mathematics or philosophy of physics. It also includes interesting discussion of those central figures in the analytic tradition (e.g. Wittgenstein, Quine, and Kuhn) who reject much of the classical picture. Moreover, as a piece of philosophy of science, it suggests a different picture of how to think about the fruits of scientific theorizing.

I did not order the book at the bookstore because only the hardback has been available until recently. But, it is just out in paperback:


Andrew Hsu points out that one can look at the full text of the book (from a UC IP address) at

http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/oso/public/content/philosophy/9780199269259/top c.htm

PHILOS 241, TOPICS IN POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY
SHIFFRIN
My seminar this term will be about linguistic meaning and mental content. The seminar will meet on Mondays at 3p.m. The first meeting will be introductory. The reading for the first seminar will be the first chapter of Horwich, Reflections on Meaning.

Please note that the class will not meet on Monday October 6th.

We will use as a framework for the seminar Paul Horwich’s recent book, Reflections on Meaning, which should be in the ASUCLA store and is available in paperback. We will also read some of Horwich’s earlier book, Meaning, and works by other philosophers, including Kripke, Quine, Gibbard, Harman, Rey, Boghossian, Peacocke, and myself.

In the first few meetings of the seminar we will explore Horwich’s theory of meaning. We will then turn to objections to his view and competing proposals, as well as Horwich’s responses to these objections and proposals. Topics we may discuss include constraints on constitutive explanation, the Kripkenstein problem and the normativity of meaning, meaning as the basis for a priori knowledge, analyticity, Chomsky and the language faculty, vagueness, and indeterminacy. Anyone who takes the seminar for a letter grade will be expected to attend regularly and to write a seminar paper on a topic of his or her choice. Seminar papers will be due at the end of the quarter. S/U students are welcome and will be expected to write a brief (4-5 page) paper. Anyone interested in auditing should contact me for permission.

You are welcome to attend the first meeting (or indeed several meetings) in order to decide whether you are interested in coming regularly.
The seminar on argument in Spring Quarter will be a continuation of the seminar on the same topic in Winter Quarter, but students in the Spring Quarter seminar need not have taken the Winter Quarter seminar.

The Spring Quarter seminar will focus on two texts, the Little Logic of Paul of Venice and the Dialectical Disputations of Lorenzo Valla, both written between 1400 and 1450, more or less. Paul's Little Logic was the leading logic manual of its day; Valla's Dialectical Disputations is an attack on the approach to logic and language represented by Paul's Little Logic. Although Valla plainly opposed Paul's way of doing things, the opponents that he actually names are far older: Boethius, Porphyry and Aristotle. On the surface, the target of Valla's Dialectical Disputations is the "Old Logic" of Aristotle, Porphyry and Boethius that was current in Western Europe before the twelfth century: accordingly, the seminar will give some attention to the Old Logic. A prominent question will be: who was Valla actually attacking in the Dialectical Disputations?

We will read Paul's Little Logic in the English translation by Alan Perreiah, focusing on the first tractate, which summarizes the core notions developed in more detail in the rest of the work: terms, propositions and arguments. We may also spend some time on the third and fifth tractates, which deal with consequences and obligations. Since there is no published translation of Valla’s Dialectical Disputations, we will use the draft English version by Copenhaver.

If time permits and interest emerges, we may move on from the fifteenth century to a very different approach to logic and argument in the seventeenth century; the Port Royal Logic.

Students enrolled for a letter grade will write a course paper.

Aesthetics of Wildness.

Sublime landscapes in philosophy and literature:
Mountains, oceans, volcanoes, deserts.

There are places that most of humanity has avoided for millennia and that have prompted fear and dismay: mountains, oceans, volcanoes, and deserts. Inhospitable, hostile, and desolate, they conjure up death. The vastness of these places is humbling, their power threatening, and they remind everyone of his or her temporary and precarious existence in the world. Nonetheless, from the beginning of the eighteenth century, such horrible places begin to be visited intentionally and are seen as “sublime” – endowed with a deep and enthralling beauty. This radical inversion of taste has more than merely an aesthetic relevance: it implies a new way of forging and consolidating individuality, thanks to the challenge arising from the greatness and predominance of nature. This confrontation gives rise to an unexpected mixture of pleasure and terror that, in an ambiguous way, reinforces the idea of humanity’s intellectual and moral
superiority over the entire universe at the same time that it contributes to the
discovery of the delight of losing oneself in the whole.
After having reached the zenith, the theories and the sensation of the sublime start
their decline as the balance of forces seems to be upset when western humanity
believes it has begun to defeat nature’s fearful immensity, reveal its hidden secrets,
and suppress its rebellious energies. The sublime increasingly shifts thus from
nature to history and from history to politics.
Although technological developments, the diffusion of mass tourism, and the
destruction of the landscape have blunted the sentiment of the sublime by stripping
it of those essential ingredients of uncertainty and fear, there are today factors that
foster its revival. In fact, the hegemony of technology has made the struggle against
a wounded and offended nature seem pathetic or wicked. Moreover, after the first
interplanetary expeditions punctured the protective shell of the earthly biosphere,
sidereal space opened up new frontiers of the sublime for humanity.
Required readings:
Longinus’ on the sublime. Edited with introd. and commentary by D.A. Russell,
Edmund Burke, A philosophical enquiry into the origin of our ideas of the sublime
Immanuel Kant, Critics of Judgement, New York-Oxford, Oxford University Press,
Jean-François Lyotard, Lessons on the Analytic of the Sublime, Stanford, Stanford
Harold Bloom, Agon: Toward a Theory of Revisionism, Oxford, Oxford University
Suggested reading for the preparation of papers:
Literary Texts:
Percy Bysshe B. Shelley, Mont Blanc (any complete edition).
Susan Sontag, The volcano lover: a romance, New York, Farrar Straus Giroux,
Texts of aesthetics and general philosophy:
Blaise Pascal, Pensées and other writings, Oxford – New York, Oxford University
Samul Holton Monk, The sublime: a study of critical theories in XVIII-century
W.H. Auden, The Enchafed Flood, Or Romantic Iconography of the Sea, London,
Faber & Faber, 1951.
Marjorie Hope Nicolson, Mountain gloom and mountain glory, New York, Norton,
[1963, c1959]
Thomas Weiskel, The romantic sublime: studies in the structure and psychology of
Martin’s Press, 1983
Remo Bodei
Professor of Philosophy
bodei@humnet.ucla.edu
340C Royce Hall
Phone number: (310) 206-1351.

259, ETHICS AND VALUE THEORY
HIERONYMI

271, METAPHYSICS & EPISTEMOLOGY
BURGE

287, PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE
CUMMING
The seminar will be on attitude reports. Below is a tentative schedule:
De Re
Week 1
Quine, Quantifiers and Propositional Attitudes
Kaplan, Quantifying In
Paderewski
Week 2
Kripke, A Puzzle about Belief
This is a seminar on the Theory of Argumentation and its History. We will begin with discussion of the very concept of argument, proceed to a discussion of fallacies and then go on to a discussion of codified forms of argument and disputation. Our aim is a better understanding of the nature and function of argument, its relation to such concepts as deduction and inference and its role in reasoning.

We will begin with a discussion of Parsons' paper "What is an Argument" (J. Phil 1996) and C.L. Hamblin's chapter "The Concept of Argument" from his book Fallacies. We will look at some recent discussions of the function of argument including Robert Nozick's. We will then take up the discussion of fallacies, working our way through parts of Aristotle's discussion of Fallacies in his Sophistical Refutations, through Locke's treatment of fallacies and through some of the subsequent discussions of each. Some deductively sound arguments are traditionally treated as fallacies and we will discuss why and consider (and reconsider) some of the relations broached in between good argument and valid consequence.

In the latter part of the term we will turn to the theory and practice of disputation. We will discuss Hamblin's chapter "Formal Dialectic" (from...
Fallacies) and the medieval formalized disputation technique called ³Obligatio². If time permits we will consider some recent efforts to formalize argument and disputation. Although listed as a history seminar, the seminar may count for E&M, depending on paper topic.

241 TPCS-POLITCL PHILOS
JULIUS, A.J.

M256 TOPICS-LEGAL PHILOS
SHIFFRIN, S.

259 ETHICS&VALUE THEORY
HIERONYMI, P.

281 SEMINAR-PHILOS-MIND
GREENBERG, M.D. The Nature and Methodology of Philosophy
The seminar is officially listed as Philosophy of Mind 281, but the subject matter will cut across several areas, including metaphysics, epistemology, philosophy of mind, and philosophy of language. We will undertake a close examination of Timothy Williamson’s new book, The Philosophy of Philosophy. The book has not yet been published, but is available on the internet at the following URL:
It is slated to be published soon and will then be ordered for the ASUCLA store.
We will use The Philosophy of Philosophy as a springboard for discussion of several issues concerning the nature of philosophy and its methods. Topics to be discussed include: whether philosophy has a distinctive method; whether philosophical knowledge is a priori; the relation between empirical work and philosophy; whether philosophical truths are conceptual truths; the nature of conceptual truth; what is involved in giving a constitutive account of a phenomenon; the source of our knowledge of necessity and possibility.
Depending on how the seminar goes, we may turn to other contemporary readings, including work by the instructor.
The seminar will meet on Mondays at 3:00. The first meeting will be introductory.
There is no reading assignment for the first reading. Anyone who takes the seminar for a letter grade will be expected to attend regularly and to write a seminar paper on a topic of his or her choice. S/U students and auditors are also welcome. You are welcome to attend the first meeting (or indeed several meetings) in order to decide whether you are interested in coming regularly.

287 SEM-PHILOS OF LANG: SEMINAR ON DONNELLAN
WETTSTEIN
In this seminar we will explore some of the seminal papers of Keith Donnellan, papers that raise fundamental questions in -- and sometimes indeed have set the agenda for -- the philosophy of language and the philosophy of mind. It is a virtue of Donnellan’s work that is accessible, non-technical, put in the plainest of language. Accordingly, these papers can serve newcomers as introductions to these areas. At the same time, the issues raised are so basic that a review of these papers can serve the initiated as an opportunity to revisit the fundamentals.
We will start with Donnellan’s classic paper, “Reference and Definite Descriptions,” his introduction into the literature of the influential but contested distinction between
referential and attributive definite descriptions. Next we will read his “Proper Names and Identifying Descriptions,” his non-descriptivist treatment of proper names. After that we will turn “Speaking of Nothing,” Donnellan’s treatment of empty names. Supplementary readings will be announced and put on the website. Time permitting we will proceed further, for example, to Donnellan’s “Rigid Designators and the Contingent A Priori.”

288 SEM-WITTGENSTEIN
HSU, A.

The seminar will be on Wittgenstein’s remarks on family resemblance and rule following in Philosophical Investigations and Part VI of Remarks on the Foundations of Mathematics (2nd ed.). We’ll discuss Wittgenstein’s ideas about "grammar" and criteria and consider extensions in ethics (in the work of Anscombe, Foot and McDowell) and aesthetics (in the work of Gallie and Sibley).

Please note that the seminar meeting time may be changed to avoid conflict with Language Workshop.